http://garmian.edu.krd

https://doi.org/10.24271/garmian.126

 $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -Connected Spaces and  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -Components

Alias B. Khalaf<sup>1</sup> & Sarhad F. Namiq<sup>2</sup>

 College of Science, University of Duhok, Duhok, Kurdistan- Region, Iraq. Email: <u>aliasbkhalaf@gmail.com</u>.
 Mathematics Department, College of Education, University of Garmian, Kurdistan- Region, Iraq. Email: <u>sarhad.faig@garmian.edu.krd</u> or <u>sarhad1983@gmail.com</u>.

### Abstract

In this paper, we define and study a new type of connected spaces called  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ connected space. It is remarkable that the class of  $\lambda$ -connected spaces is a subclass
of the class of  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected spaces. We discuss some characterizations and
properties of  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected spaces ,  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -components and  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -locally connected
spaces.

### 1. Introduction

The study of semi-open sets and their properties was initiated by N. Levine [1] in 1963. In [2], S.F.Namiq defined an operation  $\lambda$  on the family of semi open sets in a topological space called s-operation via this operation, he defined  $\lambda$ -open sets. By using  $\lambda$ -open and semi closed set also S.F.Namiq in [3], defined  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open set and also investigated several properties of  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -derived,  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -interior and  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -closure points in topological spaces, moreover In [4], S.F.Namiq defined  $\lambda$ -connected spaces by using  $\lambda$ -open sets. In [5], Furthermore S.F. Namiq defined  $\lambda_c$ connected spaces via  $\lambda_c$ -open sets. S. Willard in [6], obtained some analogous properties of connectedness for  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connectedness. Throughout the present paper, a topological space is denoted by  $(X, \tau)$  or simply by X.

## 2. Preliminaries

First, we recall some definitions and results used in this paper. For any subset A of X, the closure and the interior of A are denoted by Cl(A) and Int(A), A subset A of a space X is said to be semi open [1] if respectively.  $A \subseteq Cl$  (Int (A)). The complement of a semi open set is said to be semi closed [1]. The family of all semi open (resp. semi closed) sets in a space X is denoted by  $SO(X,\tau)$  or SO(X) (resp.  $SC(X,\tau)$  or SC(X)). A space X is said to be sconnected [7], if it is not the union of two nonempty disjoint semi open subsets of X. A subset A of a topological space X is said to be  $\beta$  -open [8], if  $A \subseteq Cl(Int(Cl(A)))$ ). The complement of a  $\beta$ -open set is said to be  $\beta$ -closed. The family of all  $\beta$ -open (resp.  $\beta$ -closed) sets in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is by  $\beta O(X,\tau)$  or  $\beta O(X)$  (resp.  $\beta C(X,\tau)$  or  $\beta C(X)$ ). We denoted consider  $\lambda$ :  $SO(X) \rightarrow P(X)$  as a function defined on SO(X) into the power set of X, P(X) and  $\lambda$  is called an s-operation if  $V \subseteq \lambda(V)$ , for each semi open set V. It is assumed that  $\lambda(\phi) = \phi$  and  $\lambda(X) = X$ , for any s-operation  $\lambda$ . Let X be a space and  $\lambda: SO(X) \to P(X)$  be an s-operation, then a subset A of X is called a  $\lambda$ -open set [2], which is equivalent to  $\lambda_s$  -open set [9], if for each  $x \in A$ , there exists a semi open set U such that  $x \in U$  and  $\lambda(U) \subseteq A$ . The complement of a  $\lambda$ -open set is said to be  $\lambda$ -closed. The family of all  $\lambda$ -open (resp.,  $\lambda$ -closed) subsets of a space X is denoted by  $SO_{\lambda}(X,\tau)$  or  $SO_{\lambda}(X)$  (resp.  $SC_{\lambda}(X,\tau)$  or  $SC_{\lambda}(X)$ ), then a  $\lambda$ -open subset A of X is called a  $\lambda_c$ -open set [2], if for each  $x \in A$ , there exists a closed set F such that  $x \in F \subseteq A$ . The family of all  $\lambda_c$ -open (resp.,  $\lambda_c$ closed ) subsets of a space X is denoted by  $SO_{\lambda c}(X,\tau)$  or  $SO_{\lambda c}(X)$  (resp.  $SC_{\lambda_c}(X,\tau)$  or  $SC_{\lambda_c}(X)$ ).

Now, we recall some definitions and restate some known results which will be used in the sequel.

**Definition 2.1[2].** Let X be a space and  $\lambda : SO(X) \to P(X)$  be an s-operation, then a subset A of X is called a  $\lambda$ -open set if for each  $x \in A$  there exists a semi open set U such that  $x \in U$  and  $\lambda(U) \subseteq A$ .

The complement of a  $\lambda$ -open set is called  $\lambda$ -closed. The family of all  $\lambda$ -open (resp.,  $\lambda$ -closed) subsets of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $SO_{\lambda}(X, \tau)$  or  $SO_{\lambda}(X)$  (resp.,  $SC_{\lambda}(X, \tau)$  or  $SC_{\lambda}(X)$ ).

**Definition 2.2[2].** A  $\lambda$ -open subset A of X is called a  $\lambda_c$ -open set if for each  $x \in A$  there exists a closed set F such that  $x \in F \subseteq A$ . The family of all  $\lambda_c$ -open (resp.,  $\lambda_c$ -closed) subsets of a space X is denoted by  $SO_{\lambda c}(X, \tau)$  or  $SO_{\lambda c}(X)$  (resp.,  $SC_{\lambda c}(X, \tau)$  or  $SC_{\lambda c}(X)$ ).

**Definition 2.3** [3]. A  $\lambda$ -open subset A of X is called a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open set if for each  $x \in A$ , there exists a  $\beta$ -closed set F such that  $x \in F \subseteq A$ . The family of all  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open (resp.,  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -closed) subsets of a space X is denoted by  $SO_{\lambda_{\beta c}}(X,\tau)$  or  $SO_{\lambda_{\beta c}}(X)$  (resp.,  $SC_{\lambda_{\beta c}}(X,\tau)$  or  $SC_{\lambda_{\beta c}}(X)$ ).

**Proposition 2.4** [3]. For a space X,  $SO_{\lambda c}(X) \subseteq SO_{\lambda \beta c}(X) \subseteq SO_{\lambda}(X) \subseteq SO(X)$ .

The following examples show that the converse of the above proposition may not be true in general.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ , and  $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$ . Define an s-operation  $\lambda : SO(X) \rightarrow P(X)$  as follows:

$$\lambda(A) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } b \in A \\ X & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Here, we have  $\{a, c\}$  is a semi open set, but it is not  $\lambda$  -open. And also we have  $\{a, b\}$  is a  $\lambda$  -open set but it is a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$  -open set, but not  $\lambda_c$  -open.

**Example 2.6.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ , and  $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ . We define an s-operation  $\lambda : SO(X) \rightarrow P(X)$  as:

 $\lambda(A) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } A = \{b\} \\ X & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

Here, we have  $\{b\}$  is a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open set, but it is not  $\lambda_{c}$ -open.

**Definition 2.17** [9]. Let X be a space, an s-operation  $\lambda$  is said to be s-regular if for every semi open sets U and V containing  $x \in X$ , there exists a semi open set W containing x such that  $\lambda(W) \subseteq \lambda(U) \cap \lambda(V)$ .

**Definition 2.8.**[3]. Let X be a space and A a subset of X. Then:

- (1) The  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -closure of A ( $\lambda_{\beta c}Cl(A)$ ) is the intersection of all  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -closed sets which containing A.
- (2) The  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -interior of A ( $\lambda_{\beta c}Int(A)$ ) is the union of all  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open sets of X which contained in A.
- (3) A point  $x \in X$  is said to be a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -limit point of A if every  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open set containing x contains a point of A different from x, and the set of all  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -limit points of A is called the  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -derived set of A, denoted by  $\lambda_{\beta c} D(A)$ .

**Proposition 2.9.[3].** For each point  $x \in X$ ,  $x \in \lambda_{\beta c} Cl(A)$  if and only if  $V \cap A \neq \phi$ , for every  $V \in SO_{\lambda_{\beta c}}(X)$  such that  $x \in V$ .

**Proposition 2.10.**[3]. Let  $\{A_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be any collection of  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open sets in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I}^{A_{\alpha}}$  is a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open set. **Example 2.11** Let  $X = \{\alpha, h, c\}$  and  $\tau = P(X)$ . We define an c operation.

**Example 2.11.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = P(X)$ . We define an s-operation  $\lambda: SO(X) \rightarrow P(X)$  as:

$$\lambda(A) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } A \neq \{a\}, \{b\} \\ X & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Now, we have  $\{a, b\}$  and  $\{b, c\}$  are  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open sets, but  $\{a, b\} \cap \{b, c\} = \{b\}$  is not  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open.

**Proposition 2.12.**[3]. Let  $\lambda$  be an s-operation and s-regular. If A and B are  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -

open sets in X , then  $A \cap B$  is also a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open set.

**Proposition 2.13.**[3]. Let X be a space and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then A is a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -closed subset of X if and only if  $\lambda_{\beta c} D(A) \subseteq A$ .

**Proposition 2.14**.[3]. For subsets A, B of a space X, the following statements are true.

(1) 
$$A \subseteq \lambda_{\beta c} Cl(A)$$
.

- (2)  $\lambda_{\beta c} Cl(A)$  is a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -closed set in X.
- (3)  $\lambda_{\beta c} Cl(A)$  is a smallest  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -closed set, which contain A.
- (4) A is a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -closed set if and only if  $A = \lambda_{\beta c} Cl(A)$ .
- (5)  $\lambda_{\beta c} Cl(\phi) = \phi$  and  $\lambda_{\beta c} Cl(X) = X$ .
- (6) If A and B are subsets of the space X with  $A \subseteq B$ . Then  $\lambda_{\beta c} Cl(A) \subseteq \lambda_{\beta c} Cl(B)$ .
- (7) For any subsets A, B of a space X.  $\lambda_{\beta c}Cl(A) \cup \lambda_{\beta c}Cl(B) \subseteq \lambda_{\beta c}Cl(A \cup B)$ .
- (8) For any subsets A, B of a space X.  $\lambda_{\beta c}Cl(A \cap B) \subseteq \lambda_{\beta c}Cl(A) \cap \lambda_{\beta c}Cl(B)$ .

**Proposition 2.15**[3]. Let X be a space and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then  $\lambda_{\beta c} Cl(A) = A \cup$ 

 $\lambda_{\beta c} D(A).$ 

**Definition 2.16.**[4]. A space X is said to be  $\lambda$ -connected if there does not exist a pair A, B of nonempty disjoint  $\lambda$ -open subset of X such that  $X = A \cup B$ , otherwise X is called  $\lambda$ -disconnected. In this case, the pair (A, B) is called a  $\lambda$ -disconnection of X.

**Definition 2.17.**[5]. A space *X* is said to be  $\lambda_c$  -connected if there does not exist a pair *A*, *B* of nonempty disjoint  $\lambda_c$  -open subset of *X* such that  $X = A \cup B$ , otherwise *X* is called  $\lambda_c$  -disconnected. In this case, the pair (*A*, *B*) is called a  $\lambda_c$  -disconnection of *X*.

**Theorem 2.17.**[4]. Every s-connected space is  $\lambda$ -connected.

The converse of Theorem 2.17 is not true ingeneral by the following example:

**Example 2.18.**[4]. Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ , and  $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ . We define an s-operation  $\lambda : SO(X) \to P(X)$  as:

 $\lambda(A) = \begin{cases} X & \text{if } a \in A \\ A & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  $SO(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}.$  $SO_{\lambda}(X) = \{\phi, \{b\}, X\}.$ 

We have *X* is  $\lambda$ -connected, but it is not s-connected.

**Theorem 2.19.**[5]. Every  $\lambda$  -connected space is  $\lambda_c$  -connected.

**Remark 2.20.** We can show that, the converse of Theorem 2.19 is not true in general. In fact the space X of Example 2.6 is  $\lambda_c$ -connected, but not  $\lambda$ -connected.

**Corollary 2.21.**[5]. Every *s* -connected space is  $\lambda_c$ -connected.

# **3.** $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -Connected Spaces

In this section, we define and study some characterizations and properties of a new space called  $\lambda_{sc}$ -connected space.

We start this section with the following definitions.

**Definition 3.1.** Let X be a space and  $Y \subseteq X$ . Then the class of  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open sets in Y

 $(SO_{\lambda_{a_{\alpha}}}(Y))$  is defined in a natural way as:

 $SO_{\lambda_{\beta_c}}(Y) = \{Y \cap V : V \in SO_{\lambda_{\beta_c}}(X)\}.$ 

That is *W* is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open in *Y* if and only if  $W = Y \cap V$ , where *V* is a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open set in *X*. Thus, *Y* is a subspace of *X* with respect to  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open set.

**Definition 3.2**. A space *X* is said to be  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected if there does not exist a pair *A*, *B* of nonempty disjoint  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open subset of *X* such that  $X = A \cup B$ , otherwise *X* is called  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -disconnected. In this case, the pair (*A*, *B*) is called a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -disconnection of *X*.

**Definition 3.3**. Let X be a space and  $\lambda : SO(X) \to P(X)$  an s-operation, then the family  $SO_{\lambda_{\beta_c}}(X)$  is called  $\lambda_{\beta_c}$ -indiscrete space if  $SO_{\lambda_{\beta_c}}(X) = \{\phi, X\}$ .

**Definition 3.4.** Let X be a space and  $\lambda : SO(X) \to P(X)$  an s-operation then the family  $SO_{\lambda_{\beta_c}}(X)$  is called a  $\lambda_{\beta_c}$ -discrete space if  $SO_{\lambda_{\beta_c}}(X) = P(X)$ .

**Example 3.5**. Every  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -indiscrete space is  $\lambda_c$ -connected.

We give in below a characterization of  $\lambda_{\beta c}$  -connected spaces, the proof of which is straight forward.

**Theorem 3.6.** A space *X* is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -disconnected (respt.  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected) if and only if there exists (resp., does not exist) a non empty proper subset *A* of *X*, which is both  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open and  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -closed in *X*.

**Theorem 3.7.** Every  $\lambda$  -connected space is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected.

**Proof.** Let *X* be  $\lambda$ -connected, then there does not exist a pair *A*, *B* of nonempty disjoint  $\lambda$ -open subset of *X* such that  $X = A \cup B$ , but every  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open set is a $\lambda$ -open set by Proposition 2.4, so there does not exist a pair *A*, *B* of nonempty disjoint  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open subset of *X* such that  $X = A \cup B$ . Thus *X* is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected.

The converse of Theorem 3.7 is not true in general as it is shown by the following example:

**Example 3.8.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ , and  $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ . We define an s-operation  $\lambda : SO(X) \rightarrow P(X)$  as follows:

$$\lambda(A) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } A = \{a\} \\ X & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
  

$$SO(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\} = \beta O(X).$$
  

$$SO_{\lambda}(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}.$$
  

$$SO_{\lambda_{\beta_{c}}}(X) = \{\phi, X\}.$$

We have X is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected, but it is not  $\lambda$ -connected.

**Theorem 3.9.** Every  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected space is  $\lambda_c$ -connected.

**Proof.** Let *X* be  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected, then there does not exist a pair *A*, *B* of nonempty disjoint  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open subset of *X* such that  $X = A \cup B$ , but every  $\lambda_c$ -open set is a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open set by Proposition 2.4, so there does not exist a pair *A*, *B* of nonempty disjoint  $\lambda_c$ -open subset of *X* such that  $X = A \cup B$ . Thus *X* is  $\lambda_c$ -connected.

**Remark 3.10.** The converse of Theorem 3.9 is not true, in general. The space X of Example 2.6 is  $\lambda_c$  -connected, but not  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected.

**Remark 3.11.** The following diagram combining Theorem 2.17, Theorem 2.19, Theorem 3.7, Theorem 3.9, Corollary 2.21, Example 2.6, Example 2.18, Example 3.8, Remark 2.20 and Remark 3.10.



**Definition 3.12.** Let X be a space and  $A \subseteq X$ . The  $\lambda_{\beta c}$  -boundary of A, written  $\lambda_{\beta c}Bd(A)$ , is defined as the set  $\lambda_{\beta c}Bd(A) = \lambda_{\beta c}Cl(A) \cap \lambda_{\beta c}Cl(X / A)$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** A space *X* is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected if and only if every nonempty proper subspace has a nonempty  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -boundary.

**Proof.** Suppose that a nonempty proper subspace *A* of a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected space *X* has empty  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -boundary. Then *A* is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open and  $\lambda_{\beta c}Cl(A) \cap \lambda_{\beta c}Cl(X \setminus A) = \phi$ . Let *p* be a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -limit point of *A*. Then  $p \in \lambda_{\beta c}Cl(A)$  but  $p \notin \lambda_{\beta c}Cl(X \setminus A)$ . In particular *p*  $\notin (X \setminus A)$  and so  $p \in A$ . Thus *A* is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -closed and  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open. By Theorem 3.6, *X* is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -disconnected. This contradiction gives that *A* has a nonempty  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -boundary.

Conversely, suppose X is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -disconnected. Then by Theorem 3.6, X has a proper subspace A which is both  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -closed and  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open. Then  $\lambda_{\beta c}Cl(A) = A$ ,  $\lambda_{\beta c}Cl(X \setminus A) = (X \setminus A)$  and  $\lambda_{\beta c}Cl(A) \cap \lambda_{\beta c}Cl(X \setminus A) = \phi$ . So A has empty  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ boundary, a contradiction. Hence X is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.14.** Let (A, B) be a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -disconnection of a space X and C be a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ connected subspace of X. Then C is contained in A or in B.

**Proof.** Suppose that *C* is neither contained in *A* nor in *B*. Then  $C \cap A$ ,  $C \cap B$  are both nonempty  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open subsets of *C* such that  $(C \cap A) \cap (C \cap B) = \phi$  and  $(C \cap A) \cup (C \cap B) = C$ . This gives that  $(C \cap A, C \cap B)$  is a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -disconnection of *C*. This contradiction proves the theorem.

**Theorem 3.15.** Let  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha}$ , where each  $X_{\alpha}$  is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected and  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha} \neq \phi$ . Then *X* is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected.

**Proof.** Suppose on the contrary that (A, B) is a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -disconnection of X. Since each  $X_{\alpha}$  is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected, therefore by Theorem 3.14,  $X_{\alpha} \subseteq A$  or  $X_{\alpha} \subseteq B$ . Since

 $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha} \neq \phi$ , therefore all  $X_{\alpha}$  are contained in *A* or in *B*. This gives that, if  $X \subseteq A$ , then  $B = \phi$  or if  $X \subseteq B$ , then  $A = \phi$ . This contradiction proves that *X* is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ connected. Which completes the proof.

Using Theorem 3.15, we give a characterization of  $\lambda_{\beta c}$  -connectedness as follows:

**Theorem 3.16.** A space *X* is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected if and only if for every pair of points *x*, *y* in *X*, there is a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected subset of *X*, which contains both *x* and *y*.

**Proof.** The necessity is immediate since the  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected space itself contains these two points.

For the sufficiency, suppose that for any two points x, y; there is a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected subspace  $C_{x,y}$  of X such that  $x, y \in C_{x,y}$ . Let  $a \in X$  be a fixed point and  $\{C_{a,x}, x \in X\}$  a class of all  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected subsets of X, which contain a and  $x \in X$ . Then  $X = \bigcup_{x \in X} C_{a,x}$  and  $\bigcap_{x \in X} C_{a,x} \neq \phi$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3.15, X is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ connected. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.17.** Let *C* be a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected subset of a space *X* and  $A \subseteq X$  such that  $C \subseteq A \subseteq \lambda_{\beta c} Cl(C)$ . Then *A* is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected.

**Proof.** It is sufficient to show that  $\lambda_{\beta c}Cl(C)$  is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected. On the contrary, suppose that  $\lambda_{\beta c}Cl(C)$  is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -disconnected. Then there exists a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -disconnection (*H*, *K*) of  $\lambda_{\beta c}Cl(C)$ . That is,  $H \cap C$ ,  $K \cap C$  are  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open sets in *C* such that  $(H \cap C) \cap (K \cap C) = (H \cap K) \cap C = \phi$  and  $(H \cap C) \cap (K \cap C) = (H \cap K) \cap C = C$ . This gives that  $(H \cap C, K \cap C)$  is a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -disconnection of *C*, a contradiction. This proves that  $\lambda_{\beta c}Cl(C)$  is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected.

## **4.** $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -component of a set

We introduce the following definition

**Definition 4.1.** A maximal  $\lambda_{\beta c}$  -connected subset of a space *X* is called a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$  - component of *X*. If *X* itself is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$  -connected, then *X* is the only  $\lambda_{\beta c}$  -component of *X*.

Next we study the properties of  $\lambda_{\beta c}$  -components of a space X :

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then

(1) For each  $x \in X$ , there is exactly one  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -component of X containing x.

- (2) Each  $\lambda_{\beta c}$  -connected subset of *X* is contained in exactly one  $\lambda_{\beta c}$  -component of *X*.
- (3) A  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected subset of X, which is both  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open and  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -closed is a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ component, if  $\lambda$  is s-regular.
- (4) Every  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -component of *X* is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -closed in *X*.

# **Proof:**

- (1) Let  $x \in X$  and  $\{C_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$  be a class of all  $\lambda_{\beta c}$  -connected subsets of X containing x. Put  $C = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} C_{\alpha}$ , then by Theorem 3.15, C is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$  -connected and  $x \in X$ . Suppose  $C \subseteq C^*$ , for some  $\lambda_{\beta c}$  -connected subset  $C^*$  of X. Then  $x \in C^*$  and hence  $C^*$  is one of the  $C_{\alpha}$ 's and hence  $C^* \subseteq C$ . Consequently  $C = C^*$ . This proves that C is a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -component of X, which contains x.
- (2) Let A be a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected subset of X, which is not a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -component of X. Suppose that  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  are  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -components of X such that  $A \subseteq C_1$ ,  $A \subseteq C_2$ . Since  $C_1 \cap C_2 = \phi$ ,  $C_1 \cup C_2$  is another  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected set which contains  $C_1$  as well as  $C_2$ , this contradicts the fact that  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -components. This proves that A is contained in exactly one  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -component of X.

- (3) Suppose that A is a λ<sub>βc</sub>-connected subset of X which is both λ<sub>βc</sub>-open and λ<sub>βc</sub>-closed. By (2), A is contained in exactly one λ<sub>βc</sub>-component C of X. If A is a proper subset of C, and since λ is s-regular, therefore C = (C ∩ A) ∪ (C ∩ (X \ A)) is a λ<sub>βc</sub>-disconnection of C, a contradiction. Thus, A = C.
- (4) Suppose a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -component *C* of *X* is not  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -closed. Then, by Theorem 3.17,  $\lambda_{\beta c}Cl(A)$  is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected containing a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -component *C* of *X*. This implies *C*  $=\lambda_{\beta c}Cl(A)$  and hence *C* is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -closed. This completes the proof.

We introduce the following definition

**Definition 4.3.** A space *X* is said to be locally  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected if for any point  $x \in X$ and any  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open set *U* containing *x*, there is a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open set *V* such that  $x \in V \subseteq U$ .

**Theorem 4.4**. A  $\lambda_{\beta c}$  -open subset of  $\lambda_{\beta c}$  -locally connected space is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$  -locally connected.

**Proof.** Let *U* be a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open subset of a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -locally connected space *X*. Let  $x \in U$  and *V* a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open nbd of *x* in *U*. Then *V* is a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open nbd of *x* in *X*. Since *X* is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -locally connected, therefore there exists a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected,  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open nbd *W* of *x* such that  $x \in W \subseteq V$ . So that *W* is also a  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -connected  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -open nbd *x* in *U* such that  $x \in W \subseteq U \subseteq V$  or  $x \in W \subseteq V$ . This proves that *U* is  $\lambda_{\beta c}$ -locally connected.

## References

- 1. Levine, N., *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*. The American Mathematical Monthly, 1963. **70**(1): p. 36-41.
- 2. F.Namiq, S., *New types of continuity and separation axiom based operation in topological spaces*. 2011, Sulaimani.
- 3. F.Namiq, S., λ\_β*c-Open Sets and Topological Properties*. Journal of Garmian University, 2017. **Preprint**.
- 4. Namiq, S.F., *λ*-*Connected Spaces Via λ*-*Open Sets*. Journal of Garmyan University, 2015. **1**: p. 165-178.
- 5. Alias B. Khalaf , H.M.D.a.S.F.N.,  $\lambda_c$ -Connected Spaces Via  $\lambda_c$ -Open Sets. journal of Garmian University, 2017. **1**(12): p. 15-29.
- 6. Willard, S., *General topology*. 1970: Courier Corporation.
- 7. Dorsett, C., *Semi-connectedness*. Indian J. Mech. Math, 1979. **17**(1): p. 57-63.
- 8. El-Monsef, M.A., S. El-Deeb, and R. Mahmoud,  $\beta$ -open sets and  $\beta$ continuous mappings. Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ, 1983. **12**(1): p. 77-90.
- 9. Khalaf, A.B. and S.F. Namiq, *[[lambda]. sub. c]-open sets and [[lambda]. sub. c]-separation axioms in topological spaces.* Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology, 2013. **4**(1): p. 150-159.